

On A Locally Finite In Ditopological Texture Space

¹,I.Arockia Rani , ²,A.A.Nithya

ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the new concept namely α -para compactness in ditopological texture spaces. Also we develop comprehensive theorems using paracompactness and α -open sets. Many effective characterizations and properties of this newly developed concept are obtained.

Keywords : Texture spaces, Ditopology, Ditopological Texture spaces, α -paracompactness, α -locally finite, α -locally co-finite. 2000 AMS Subject Classification. 54C08, 54A20

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1998 L.M.Brown introduced on attractive concept namely Textures in ditopological setting for the study of fuzzy sets in 1998. A systematic development of this texture in ditopology has been extensively made by many researchers [3,4,5,7].The present study aims at discussing the effect of α -paracompactness in Ditopological Texture spaces. Let S be a set, a texturing T of S is a subset of $P(S)$. If

(1) (T, \subset) is a complete lattice containing S and \emptyset , and the meet and join operations in (T, \subset) are related with the intersection and union operations in $(P(S), \subset)$ by the equalities $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$, $A_i \in T$, $i \in I$, for all index sets I , while $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$, $A_i \in T$, $i \in I$, for all finite index sets I .

(2) T is completely distributive.

(3) T separates the points of S . That is, given $s_1 \neq s_2$ in S we have $A \in T$ with $s_1 \in A$, $s_2 \notin A$, or $A \in T$ with $s_2 \in A$, $s_1 \notin A$.

If S is textured by T we call (S, T) a texture space or simply a texture.

For a texture (S, T) , most properties are conveniently defined in terms of the p -sets $P_s = \bigcap \{A \in T \mid s \in A\}$ and the q -sets, $Q_s = \bigcup \{A \in T \mid s \notin A\}$: The following are some basic examples of textures.

Examples 1.1. Some examples of texture spaces,

(1) If X is a set and $P(X)$ the powerset of X , then $(X; P(X))$ is the discrete texture on X . For $x \in X$, $P_x = \{x\}$ and $Q_x = X \setminus \{x\}$.

(2) Setting $I = [0; 1]$, $T = \{[0; r]; [0; r]/r \in I\}$ gives the unit interval texture $(I; T)$. For $r \in I$, $P_r = [0; r]$ and $Q_r = [0; r)$.

(3) The texture $(L; T)$ is defined by $L = (0; 1]$, $T = \{(0; r]/r \in I\}$ For $r \in L$, $P_r = (0; r]$ and $Q_r = [0; r)$.

(4) $T = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, S\}$ is a simple texturing of $S = \{a, b, c\}$ clearly $P_a = \{a, b\}$, $P_b = \{b\}$ and $P_c = \{b, c\}$.

Since a texturing T need not be closed under the operation of taking the set complement, the notion of topology is replaced by that of dichotomous topology or ditopology, namely a pair (τ, κ) of subsets of T , where the set of open sets τ satisfies

1. $S, \emptyset \in \tau$
 2. $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ then $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$
 3. $G_i \in \tau, i \in I$ then $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \in \tau$,
- and the set of closed sets κ satisfies

1. $S, \emptyset \in \kappa$
2. $K_1, K_2 \in \kappa$ then $K_1 \cup K_2 \in \kappa$ and
3. $K_i \in \kappa, i \in I$ then $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \in \kappa$. Hence a ditopology is essentially a 'topology' for which there is no a priori relation between the open and closed sets.

For $A \in T$ we define the closure $[A]$ or $cl(A)$ and the interior $]A[$ or $int(A)$ under (τ, κ) by the equalities $[A] = \bigcap \{K \in \kappa / A \subset K\}$ and $]A[= \bigcup \{G \in \tau / G \subset A\}$:

Definition 1.2. For a ditopological texture space $(S; T; \tau, \kappa)$:

1. $A \in T$ is called pre-open (resp. semi-open, β -open) if $A \subset intclA$ (resp. $A \subset clintA$; $A \subset clintclA$). $B \in T$ is called pre-closed (resp. semi-closed, β -closed) if $clintB \subset B$ (resp. $intclB \subset B$; $intclintB \subset B$)

We denote by $PO(S; T; \tau, \kappa)$ ($\beta O(S; T; \tau, \kappa)$), more simply by $PO(S)$ ($\beta O(S)$), the set of pre-open sets (β -open sets) in S . Likewise, $PC(S; T; \tau, \kappa)$ ($\beta C(S; T; \tau, \kappa)$), $PC(S)$ ($\beta C(S)$) will denote the set of pre-closed (β -closed sets) sets.

As in [3] we consider the sets $Q_s \in T, s \in S$, defined by $Q_s = \bigcup \{Pt \mid s \notin Pt\}$

By [1.1] examples, we have $Q_x = X/\{x\}$, $Q_r = (0, r] = Pr$ and $Q_t = [0, t)$ respectively. The second example shows clearly that we can have $s \in Q_s$, and indeed even $Q_s = S$.

Also, in general, the sets Q_s do not have any clear relation with either the set theoretic complement or the complementation on T . They are, however, closely connected with the notion of the core of the sets in S .

Definition.1.3 For $A \in T$ the core of A is the set $core(A) = \{s \in S \mid A \not\subset Q_s\}$.

Clearly $core(A) \subset A$, and in general we can have $core(A) \not\subset T$. We will generally denote $core(A)$ by Ab

2. α -Discovers and α -locally finite

Definition 2.1 A subset C of $T \times T$ is called a difamily on (S, T) . Let $C = \{(G_\alpha, F_\alpha) / \alpha \in A\}$ be a family on (S, T) . Then T is called a α -discover of (S, T) if for all partitions A_1, A_2 of A , we have $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A_1} F_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A_2} G_\alpha$

Definition 2.2 Let (τ, κ) be a ditopology on (S, T) . Then a difamily C on (S, T, τ, κ) is called α -open (co- α -open) if $dom(C) \subset \alpha O(S)$ ($ran(C) \subset \alpha O(S)$).

Definition 2.3 Let (τ, κ) be a ditopology on (S, T) . Then a difamily C on (S, T, τ, κ) is called α -closed (co- α -closed) if $dom(C) \subset \alpha C(S)$ ($ran(C) \subset \alpha C(S)$).

Lemma 2.4 [3] Let (S, T) be a texture. Then $P = \{(P_s, Q_s) \mid s \in S\}$ is a α -discover of S .

Corollary 2.5 [3] Given $A \in T, A \neq \emptyset$, there exists $s \in S$ with $P_s \subset A$.

Definition 2.6 Let (S, T) be a texture, C and C' difamilies in (S, T) . Then C is said to be a refinement of C' , written $C < C'$. If given $A \subset B$ we have

$A_0 \subset C_0 \subset B_0$ with $A \subset A_0$ and $B_0 \subset B$. If C is a α -discover and $C < C'$, then clearly C' is a α -discover.

Remark 2.7 Given α -discovers C and D then $C \wedge D = \{(A \cap C, B \cup D) \mid A \subset B, C \wedge D\}$ is also a α -discover. It is the meet of C and D with respect to the refinement relation.

Definition 2.8 Let $C = \{(G_i, F_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a difamily indexed over I . Then C is said to be (i) **Finite (co-finite)** if $dom(C)$ (resp., $ran(C)$) is finite.

(ii) α -Locally finite if for all $s \in S$ there exists $K_s \in \alpha C(S)$ with $P_s \not\subset K_s$ so that the set $\{i \mid G_i \not\subset K_s\}$ is finite.

(iii) α -Locally co-finite if for all $s \in S$ with $Q_s \neq S$ there exists $H_s \in \alpha O(S)$ with $H_s \not\subset Q_s$ so that the set $\{i \mid H_s \not\subset F_i\}$ is finite.

(iv) Point finite if for each $s \in S$ the set $\{i \mid P_s \subset G_i\}$ is finite.

(v) Point co-finite if for each $s \in S$ with $Q_s \neq S$ the set $\{i \mid F_i \subset Q_s\}$ is finite.

Lemma 2.9 Let (S, T, τ, κ) be a ditopological texture space and C be a difamily then, the following are equivalent:

1. $C = \{(G_i, F_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is α locally finite.

2. There exists a family $B = \{B_j \mid j \in J\} \subset T \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with the property that for $A \in T$ with $A \neq \emptyset$, we have $j \in J$ with $B_j \subset A$, and for each $j \in J$ there is $K_j \in \alpha C(S)$ so that $B_j \not\subset K_j$ and the set $\{i \mid G_i \not\subset K_j\}$ is finite.
 Proof. Straightforward.

Lemma 2.10 Let (S, T, τ, κ) be a ditopological texture space and C be a difamily then, the following are equivalent:

(a) $C = \{(G_i, F_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is α -locally co-finite.

(b) There exists a family $B = \{B_j \mid j \in J\} \subset T \setminus \{S\}$ with the property that for $A \in T$ with $A \neq S$, we have $j \in J$ with $A \subset B_j$, and for each $j \in J$ there is $H_j \in \alpha O(S)$ so that $H_j \not\subset B_j$ and the set $\{i \mid H_i \not\subset F_j\}$ is finite.

Theorem 2.11 The difamily $C = \{(G_i, F_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is α locally finite if for each $s \in S$ with $Q_s \neq S$ we have $K_s \in \alpha C(S)$ with $Q_s \not\subset K_s$, so that the set $\{i \mid G_i \not\subset K_s\}$ is finite.

Proof. Given $C = \{(G_i, F_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is α locally finite, then by Lemma 2.9 there exists a family $B = \{B_j \mid j \in J\} \subset T \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with the property that for $A \in T$ with $A \neq \emptyset$, we have $j \in J$ with $B_j \subset A$, and for each $j \in J$ there is $K_j \in \alpha C(S)$ so that $B_j \not\subset K_j$ and the set $\{i \mid G_i \not\subset K_j\}$ is finite. Now take $B = \{Q_s \mid Q_s \neq S\} = \{P_s \mid s \in S_b\}$, and for $A \in T$ and A is nonempty, then by corollary 2.5 there exists $s \in S_b$ with $P_s \subset A$.

Therefore for every $s \in S$, there exists $K_s \in \alpha C(S)$ with $P_s \not\subset K_s$ such that $\{i \mid G_i \not\subset K_s\}$ is finite.

Theorem 2.12 Let (S, T, τ, κ) be a ditopological texture space and C be a α -locally finite dicover and $s \in S$. Then there exists $A \subset B$ with $s \in A$ and $s \notin B$.

Proof. Given $C = \{(A_i, B_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be α -locally finite. Take $K \in \alpha C(S)$ with $s \notin K$ and $\{i \in I \mid A_i \not\subset K\}$ is finite. Now partition the set I into two sets such that $I_1 = \{i \in I \mid s \in A_i\}$ and $I_2 = \{i \in I \mid s \notin A_i\}$, since C is a dicover it should satisfy $\bigcap_{i \in I_1} B_i \subset \bigcap_{i \in I_2} A_i$

now $\bigcap_{i \in I_2} A_i$ does not have s according to our partition, which implies $s \notin \bigcap_{i \in I_1} B_i$. Thus we arrived at for all $i \in I_1, s \in A_i$ and $s \notin B_i$. (i.e) $s \in A$ and $s \notin B$.

Theorem 2.13 Let (S, T, τ, κ) be a ditopological texture space and $C = \{(A_i, B_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a difamily.

(1) If C is α -locally finite, then $\text{dom}(C)$ is α closure preserving.

(2) If C is α -locally co-finite, then $\text{ran}(C)$ is α interior preserving.

Proof. (1) Let I_0 subset of I . We have to prove $\alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i)$. To prove $\alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i)$ suppose this is not true, we get there exists $s \in S$ with $s \in \alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i)$ and $s \notin \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i)$

$$\alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i) \not\subset Q_s \text{ and } P_s \not\subset \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i) \text{ ----- (*)}$$

Since C is α -locally finite, we have $\{i \in I \mid A_i \not\subset \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i)\}$ is finite. Now partition I_0 into two sets such that

$$I_1 = \{i \in I_0 \mid A_i \not\subset K\} \text{ and } I_2 = I_0 \setminus I_1$$

$$\text{Now } \bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i = (\bigcap_{i \in I_2} \cup \bigcap_{i \in I_1}) A_i$$

$$= (\bigcap_{i \in I_2} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_1}) A_i$$

$$\alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i) \subset K \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_1} A_i$$

using * we can say $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i) \subset Q_s$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\alpha \text{cl}(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I_0} \alpha \text{cl}(A_i)$. Hence α closure is preserving.

(2) It is the dual of (1).

REFERENCE

- [1] M.E.Abd El monsef, E.F Lashien and A.A Nasef on I-open sets and Icontinuous functions, Kyungpook Math., 32 (1992) 21-30.
- [2] L. M. Brown, M. Diker, Ditopological texture spaces and intuitionistic sets, Fuzzy sets and systems 98, (1998), 217-224.
- [3] L.M. Brown, Murat Diker, Paracompactness and Full Normality in Ditopological Texture Spaces, J.Math.Analysis and Applications 227, (1998)144-165.
- [4] L. M. Brown, R. Erturk, Fuzzy Sets as Texture Spaces, I. Representation Theorems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 110 (2) (2000), 227-236.
- [5] L. M. Brown, R. Erturk, Fuzzy sets as texture spaces, II. Subtextures and quotient textures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 110 (2) (2000), 237-245.
- [6] L. M. Brown, R. Erturk, and S. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, I. Basic Concepts, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 147 (2) (2004), 171-199. 3

- [7] L. M. Brown, R. Erturk, and S. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, II. Topological Considerations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 147 (2) (2004), 201-231.
- [8] L. M. Brown, R. Erturk, and S. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, III. Separation Axioms, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 157 (14) (2006), 1886-1912.
- [9] M. Demirci, Textures and C-spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (11) (2007), 1237-1245.
- [10] S. Dost, L. M. Brown, and R. Erturk, β -open and β -closed sets in ditopological setting, Filomat, 24(2010)11-26.
- [11] J.Dontchev, On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I-continuity, Banyan Math.J., 2(1996).
- [12] J.Dontchev, M.Ganster and D.Rose, Ideal resolvability, Topology Appl., 93 (1) (1999), 1-16.
- [13] T.R.Hamlett and D.S.Jankovic, Compatible extensions of ideals, Boll.Un.Mat. Ital., 7 (1992), 453-465.
- [14] S. Jafari, Viswanathan K., Rajamani, M., Krishnaprakash, S. On decomposition of fuzzy A-continuity, The J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. (4) 2008 236-240.
- [15] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacic J. Math. 15, (1965), 961-970